## Remarks

The examiner's reconsideration of the application is urged in view of the amendments above, attachment hereto, and comments which follow:

## Claim amendments:

Original claims 1, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16 to 18 have been currently amended.

Original claims 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 have been cancelled.

Original claims 3, 8, 10, 13, 15, 19 and 20 have not been changed except to remove reference numerals.

Claim 1 as formulated now still relates to a convergence system for a projection display system, but it has been explicitly stated that "the convergence system has a color misconvergence drift due to said environmental influences which is less than 10 micrometers". A basis for this amendment can be found in original claim 2, the features of original claim 2 being introduced in currently amended claim 1, and in the specification e.g. on page 4 lines 15 and 16.

Claim 4 has been deleted from the amended claims. Consequently, the corresponding objections in section 4 and sections 7 to 9 of the Office Action are no longer applicable.

Claim 5 has been deleted from the amended claims. Consequently, the objections in sections 5 and 6 of the Office Action are not applicable anymore.

Claim 9 has been amended, i.e. a specific range of the parameter is selected, in order to overcome rejections in sections 7 to 9.

Claims have been amended so as to remove reference numbers according to US patent practice.

The applicants note that original claim 2 is allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of original claim 1. Amendments have been made to claim 1 accordingly.

Dependent claims 3 and 8 to 20 are allowable in view of their dependency on an allowable claim, currently amended claim 1.

## Corrections to the specifications & drawings:

The objection under 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5), i.e. section 1 of the Office Action, has been removed by referring to the single intermediate parts and the light modulating means in the specification as 104a, 104b, 104c, 108a, 106b and 106c. Corresponding amendments are set forth above.

The objections in sections 2 and 3 have been removed by revising the abstract and removing "+ Fig. 2".

The obvious corrections, required in section 4 of the Examiner's Office Acction, have also been corrected in the specification on page 4 lines 16, 17, 21, 31 and 32 and page 11 line 25.

Given the above, it is submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance, and the Examiner's further and favorable reconsideration in that regard is urged.

May 10, 2005

Respectfully submitted

William M. Lee, Jr.

Registration No. 26,935

Barnes & Thornburg LLP P.O. Box 2786

Chicago, Illinois 60690-2786

(312) 214-4800

(312) 759-5646 (fax)

CHD\$01 WLEB 271106v1